

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,445		03/19/2004	Allen Samuels	1042-003	7152
25215	7590	02/17/2006		EXAMINER	
		ENNISCH PC	FETSUGA, ROBERT M		
29 W LAWRENCE ST SUITE 210				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PONTIAC,	MI 4834	2		3751	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		O _V	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/804,445	SAMUELS, ALLEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNICATION OF THIS	CATION. pply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	9 March 2004.		
· <u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-32 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 2 and 4-32 are subject to restrict	drawn from consideration.	ent.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam		·	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	' — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 3751

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 2 and 4-15, drawn to a hygiene device, classified in class 4, subclass 239.
- II. Claims 16-23, drawn to a hygiene station, classified in class 4, subclass 484.
- III. Claims 24-32, drawn to a hygiene station, classified in class 4, subclass 664.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the features recited in claim 1 are not relied upon for patentability in claim 16. The subcombination has separate utility such as with a conventional toilet.

Inventions I, II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as

Application/Control Number: 10/804,445

Art Unit: 3751

capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as usable together.

Page 3

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification which would lead to divergent fields of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. Should applicant elect either of inventions I or II as defined above, a further election is required as follows.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

```
Species I: Fig. 3;
```

Species II: Fig. 4;

Species III: Fig. 5;

Species IV: Fig. 6;

Species V: Fig. 7; and

Species VI: Fig. 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

Art Unit: 3751

to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is considered to be generic.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or

Application/Control Number: 10/804,445 Page 5

Art Unit: 3751

admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 571/272-4886 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday. The Office central fax number is 571/273-8300.

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751